



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,794	09/11/2003	Dario Sansone	08350.1767-00000	4545

58982 7590 08/01/2006

CATERPILLAR/FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, L.L.P.  
901 New York Avenue, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

HURLEY, KEVIN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3611

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

10 659 794

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

20060725A

DATE MAILED:


**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

The reply filed on 15 May 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s):

Regarding the new and amended claims, specifically amended claims 1, 48, 71, 77 and new claims 103- 114 the response has not fully complied with 37 CFR 1.111(b) which states " The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. Applicant's arguments fail to point out any specific distinctions between the claims and the references. Especially the newly cited references. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."

Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

  
Kevin Hurley  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 3611